To: LEE, LILY[LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]

From: Nguyen, Lyndsey

Sent: Fri 5/27/2016 5:05:22 AM

Subject: Added a few slides

UCSC Community Presentation on Shipyard cleanup LKN 26May2016.pptx

I think I answered Dan Hirsch question. I ran a few to determine how he calculated his PRGs (he used 1000000m², no cap, and the rest is default including climate). Then, using his parameters, I tested out the action memo cleanup numbers from Navy and all were below 3x10⁴ except for Th-232. If we used a realistic scenario (using 1000000m², 60cm cap, 0.001 vegetation and inhalation, San Francisco climate, and 3x10⁴, the allowable residual contamination was huge compared to what the Navy cleaned up to. That shows that the Navy could have gone much higher for cleanup values based on the realistic scenario of future use for the site but they chose not only acceptable cleanup values but relatively conservative numbers.

Lyndsey

Lyndsey Nguyen

Environmental Response Team-Las Vegas

Phone: 702.784.8018

Cell: 702-373-3756

Email: Nguyen.Lyndsey@EPA.gov